

PRESENTMENT DATE AND TIME: July 2, 2014 at 9:45 a.m. (Eastern Time)

KING & SPALDING LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 556-2100
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222
Arthur Steinberg
Scott Davidson

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
Telephone: (312) 862-2000
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200
Richard C. Godfrey, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Andrew B. Bloomer, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Attorneys for General Motors LLC

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X		
In re	:	Chapter 11
	:	
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i> ,	:	Case No.: 09-50026 (REG)
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:	
	:	
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
-----X		
STEVEN GROMAN, ROBIN DELUCO,	:	
ELIZABETH Y. GRUMET, ABC FLOORING,	:	
INC., MARCUS SULLIVAN, KATELYN	:	
SAXSON, AMY C. CLINTON, AND ALLISON	:	Adv. Pro. No.: 14-01929 (REG)
C. CLINTON, on behalf of themselves, and all	:	
others similarly situated,	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
-v-	:	
	:	
GENERAL MOTORS LLC,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
-----X		

**NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT OF SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULING
ORDER REGARDING (I) MOTION OF GENERAL MOTORS LLC
PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 AND 363 TO ENFORCE THE
COURT'S JULY 5, 2009 SALE ORDER AND INJUNCTION,
(II) OBJECTION FILED BY CERTAIN PLAINTIFFS IN RESPECT
THERETO, AND (III) ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. 14-01929**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to a Scheduling Order entered by the Court on May 16, 2014 ("**Scheduling Order**"), Counsel for the Identified Parties (as defined in the Scheduling Order) have met and conferred with respect to certain of the procedures set forth in the Scheduling Order. Counsel for New GM and Designated Counsel have agreed to certain modifications thereof which are set forth in a proposed supplemental scheduling order, a copy of which is annexed hereto ("**Proposed Order**"). The other Counsel for the Identified Parties will be filing with the Court their own version of a proposed supplemental scheduling order.

The Proposed Order shall be presented for consideration to the Honorable Robert E. Gerber, United States Bankruptcy Judge, in Room 523 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004 on **July 2, 2014 at 9:45 a.m. (Eastern Time)**.

Dated: New York, New York
July 1, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Arthur Steinberg
Arthur Steinberg
Scott Davidson
KING & SPALDING LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Telephone: (212) 556-2100
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

Richard C. Godfrey, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Andrew B. Bloomer, P.C. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
Telephone: (312) 862-2000
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200

Attorneys for General Motors LLC

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X	
In re	:
	:
MOTORS LIQUIDATION COMPANY, <i>et al.</i> ,	:
f/k/a General Motors Corp., <i>et al.</i>	:
	:
Debtors.	:
-----X	
STEVEN GROMAN, ROBIN DELUCO,	:
ELIZABETH Y. GRUMET, ABC FLOORING,	:
INC., MARCUS SULLIVAN, KATELYN	:
SAXSON, AMY C. CLINTON, AND ALLISON	:
C. CLINTON, on behalf of themselves, and all	:
others similarly situated,	:
	:
Plaintiffs,	:
	:
-v-	:
	:
GENERAL MOTORS LLC,	:
	:
Defendant.	:
-----X	

Chapter 11

Case No.: 09-50026 (REG)

(Jointly Administered)

Adv. Pro. No.: 14-01929 (REG)

**SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULING ORDER REGARDING (I) MOTION OF
GENERAL MOTORS LLC PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105 AND 363
TO ENFORCE THE COURT'S JULY 5, 2009 SALE ORDER AND
INJUNCTION, (II) OBJECTION FILED BY CERTAIN PLAINTIFFS IN
RESPECT THERETO, AND (III) ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO. 14-01929**

Upon the Court's Order, dated May 16, 2014 ("**May 16 Scheduling Order**") establishing procedures to address certain issues respecting (i) the Motion, dated April 21, 2014 [Dkt. No. 12620] ("**Motion**"), of General Motors LLC ("**New GM**"),¹ pursuant to Sections 105 and 363 of the Bankruptcy Code, seeking to enforce the Sale Order and Injunction, (ii) the Objection, dated April 22, 2014 to the Motion filed by certain Plaintiffs [Dkt. No. 12629] ("**Objection**"), and (iii)

¹ Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

the adversary proceeding, Adv. Proc. No. 14-01929 (REG) [Dkt. No. 12619] (“**Adversary Proceeding**”) filed by Steven Groman *et al.* (“**Groman Plaintiffs**”); and upon counsel for New GM, Designated Counsel,² counsel for the Groman Plaintiffs, counsel for the Motors Liquidation Company GUC Trust (“**GUC Trust**”), and counsel for certain holders of GUC Trust units that appeared at the conference on May 2, 2014 (“**Unitholders**”)³ having met and conferred regarding certain procedural issues set forth in the May 16 Scheduling Order, and counsel for New GM and Designated Counsel having agreed to modify certain procedures and dates set forth in the May 16 Scheduling Order as set forth herein, subject to the Court’s review and approval thereof; and the other Counsel for the Identified Parties having been served with a notice of presentment of this order on July 1, 2014; and upon the prior proceedings had herein; it is hereby

ORDERED that, except as specifically set forth herein, the May 16 Scheduling Order remains in full force and effect, and is binding on all parties; and it is further

ORDERED that the July 2, 2014 conference identified in paragraph 2(e) of the May 16 Scheduling Order shall be a status conference only; and it is further

ORDERED that the one threshold issue to be initially addressed by the Court is whether Plaintiffs’ procedural due process rights were violated in connection with the Sale Motion and the Sale Order and Injunction, or alternatively, whether Plaintiffs’ procedural due process rights would be violated if the Sale Order and Injunction is enforced against them (“**Due Process Violation Threshold Issue**”); and it is further

² Designated Counsel are the law firms Brown Rudnick, LLP; Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered; and Stutzman, Bromberg, Esserman & Plifka, PC (collectively “**Designated Counsel**”).

³ Designated Counsel and counsel for New GM, the Groman Plaintiffs, the GUC Trust and the Unitholders are collectively referred to herein as “**Counsel for the Identified Parties**.”

ORDERED that the Threshold Issues set forth in paragraph 1(b), 1(c) and 1(e) in the May 16 Scheduling Order shall be deferred until the Court resolves the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue; and it is further

ORDERED that Designated Counsel, the Groman Plaintiffs, the GUC Trust and the Unitholders are hereby deemed to have released and waived any argument or claim that their clients may have that New GM will violate any legal principle, theory or rule of law arising under, in or related to the Bankruptcy Code in the event New GM voluntarily compensates pre-sale accident victims that allege that their accident was caused by a defective ignition switch (the Threshold Issue set forth in Paragraph 1(d) of the May 16 Scheduling Order); *provided, however*, that any of the Counsel for the Identified Parties may raise the fact of New GM's voluntary compensation of pre-sale accident victims (and others reserve the right to contend that said facts are irrelevant) in any further litigation of the Threshold Issues set forth in Paragraphs 1(b) and 1(c) of the Scheduling Order; and it is further

ORDERED that the following schedule shall apply to the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue:

- a. by July 7, 2014, New GM, Designated Counsel, and counsel for the Groman Plaintiffs are to exchange proposed stipulations of fact concerning the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue with Counsel for the Identified Parties;
- b. by July 10, 2014, counsel for the GUC Trust and counsel for the Unitholders, collectively, are to exchange any additional proposed stipulations of fact concerning the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue, if any, with Counsel for the Identified Parties;
- c. between July 11, 2014 and July 24, 2014, Counsel for the Identified Parties shall "meet and confer" on the proposed fact stipulations and attempt to narrow any remaining fact issues that may exist;

- d. by July 25, 2014, the parties are to deliver to this Court the agreed upon stipulations of fact, and jointly identify for this Court any facts that could not be stipulated to (collectively, the "**Fact Submission**");
- e. unless otherwise further ordered by the Court, no discovery shall be authorized for the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue;
- f. the briefing schedule for the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue shall be as follows:
 - i. New GM's opening brief ("**Opening Brief**") respecting the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue shall be filed with the Court and served on August 8, 2014;
 - ii. Designated Counsel, the Groman Plaintiffs, the GUC Trust and the Unitholders shall file and serve their responses (collectively, the "**Responses**") to the Opening Brief on August 22, 2014;
 - iii. New GM's reply brief to the Responses shall be filed with the Court and served on September 5, 2014; and
 - iv. the Court shall hold a hearing on the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue on a date set by the Court on or after September 15, 2014;
 - v. In their briefs, all parties may rely on the agreed upon stipulations of fact, and, also, any factual materials that would be considered by the Court pursuant to Rule 7056 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Court and all parties may offer for that purpose any materials which they would wish considered part of the record, and any party reserves the right to oppose the consideration of such materials;
- g. if there are certain facts that cannot be agreed to by Counsel for the Identified Parties and if the Court finds that such disputed facts are germane to the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue, discovery shall take place as further ordered by the Court after the hearing on the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue;
- h. the Court shall hold a status conference on August 5, 2014 at 9:45 a.m.; and it is further

ORDERED that consideration of the remaining Threshold Issues and the identification and consideration of all other issues that the Court will be asked to determine in connection with the Motion, the Objection and the Adversary Proceeding (other than the Due Process Violation

Threshold Issue), shall be deferred until the Court resolves the Due Process Violation Threshold Issue, and all parties shall reserve their rights with respect to such issues; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to interpret and enforce this Order.

Dated: July __, 2014
New York, New York

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE